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MTP real time display on the NASA DC-8
Theory of MTP Observations

**O_2 Absorption vs Frequency**

- Frequency [GHz]
- Absorption [Np/km]
- 0 km, 10 km, 20 km, DC3 LO Frequencies

**Sensor Measurement Theory**

\[
T(h) = \int_0^\infty W(h) T(h) \, dh
\]

Applicable height \( h(\theta) = R_a \sin \theta \)

**Observation Geometry**

- Altitudes sampled (two channels)
- Altitude (relative to A/C) [km]
- Distance along flight path [km]

(FL 600)
DC-8 Microwave Temperature Profiler (MTP)

- MTP scanning mirror is enclosed in a fairing and views forward through a grooved, high-density-polyethylene window
- It is oriented 15 degrees with respect to the direction of flight to avoid sidelobe pickup
- Mirror scans 10 positions from near-zenith to near-nadir at 3 frequencies (55.51, 56.655, 58.79 GHz) to generate 30 observables for ATP retrieval
- Data system is now located on window with sensor unit
M-55 Geophysica Microwave Temperature Profiler

- Campaign: EU Polar Stratospheric Cloud & Lee Wave Experiment (EUPLEX)
- We will fly the ER-2 MTP, but using three frequencies instead of two
- There is some risk as the first integration and test flights will occur in Kiruna
- May also support the ENVISAT Validation campaign after EUPLEX
Temperature Curtains along Aircraft Flight Track

(Left) MTP temperature curtain with superimposed isentropes (lowest is 300 K). Black trace is DC-8 altitude and white dots are the tropopause height. The 300 K surface drops at 65 ks and 76 ks when the DC-8 leaves the vortex. (see yellow numerals in right panel). (Right) Ertel's Potential Vorticity (EPV) at 37 kft (217 hPa, 10.3 km) based on DAO/GEOS-3 1 deg x 1 deg grid analysis data; the continuous magenta trace is the DC-8 flight track. Red trace is tropopause location (assuming EPV=3.25) at 37 kft.

(EPV plot courtesy of the NASA Ames meteorological support group and NASA Goddard Code 916.)
Re-analyzed assimilation data is used extensively by modelers, but there is no estimate either of its accuracy or of the impact of ignoring mesoscale temperature fluctuations on back trajectory analysis.

By comparing SOLVE MTP ER-2 & DC-8 data with DAO re-analyzed data interpolated to flight level, we find that assimilation data in the Arctic had an accuracy of ~2 K rms at altitudes >6 km. Note the ~10 K peak-to-peak errors above (green curve).

These mesoscale temperature fluctuations must be taken account of in micro-physical and chemical models, and have been parameterized as a function of altitude, latitude, season and topography using more than 10 years of MTP data. The full-width at half-maximum of these fluctuations is given by the mesoscale fluctuation amplitude (MFA) expressed in meters. The topography varies from 0 over oceans to 1.2 over continental mountains, DOY is day of year, Latitude is in degrees, and pressure P is in mb.

\[
MFA = \left[ 137 - 1.61 \text{ Latitude} + 97 \left[ 1 + \sin \left( \frac{2\pi \left( \text{DOY} - 292 \right)}{365} \right) \right] \left( \frac{\text{Latitude}}{80} \right)^2 + 43.6 \cdot \text{Topography} \left( \frac{58.85}{\text{P(mb)}} \right)^{0.39} \right]
\]
MTP Observations of Mountain Lee Waves During SOLVE

- MTP observations are important for understanding the role of lee waves in producing temperature anomalies cold enough to form Type II PSCs -- even though synoptic scale temperatures are not sufficiently cold.
- Because lee wave PSCs are localized, they allow the microphysics responsible for the formation and dissipation of cloud particles to be studied.
- Lee wave observations allow the verification of various mountain wave forecast models (MWFM), and their reliability for research flight planning. (During SOLVE the MWFM was able to correctly predict all lee wave activity on the ER-2 flight tracks.)
- In general, MTP observations provide meteorological context for in situ measurements. For example, is sampled air tropospheric, transitional, or stratospheric? What was the environment for stratospheric “rocks” before they reached flight level? Is there evidence for synoptic scale waves responsible for stratospheric warmings?

The figure above illustrates DC-8 observations of a dramatic mountain lee wave which occurred over the Norwegian Mountains on January 25, 2000. The lower panel shows the terrain cross-section, while the middle panel and upper panels show MTP isentropes (red traces) and LaRC/DIAL aerosol measurements superimposed on MM5 model isentropes (black traces).
Relationship of MTP Measurements to the SOLVE-2 Science Goals

- MTP data will be used to validate SAGE III temperature and pressure profile retrievals, and their tropopause determinations, which are a priority 1 measurement for the SOLVE-2 campaign.

- The MTP data can be used to calculate molecular number density profiles, which will improve the accuracy of remote ozone mixing ratio determinations, which is also a priority 1 measurement for SOLVE-2.

- Through collaborations with European and Japanese scientists, the MTP data will also contribute to the validation of SCIAMACHY and MIPAS measurements made on ENVISAT, and the ILAS-II measurements made on ADEOS II.

- Finally, MTP data can be used to derive isentrope surfaces, or the streamlines on which air parcels flow. This will help address the role of lee waves in chlorine activation and ozone loss, which is a primary objective of the European Union’s EUPLEX campaign.