Ozone production from the 2004 North American boreal fires
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We examine the ozone production from boreal forest fires based on a case study of wildfires in Alaska and Canada in summer 2004. The model simulations were performed with the chemistry transport model, MOZART-4, and were evaluated by comparison with a comprehensive set of aircraft measurements. In the analysis we use measurements and model simulations of carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone (O₃) at the PICO-NARE station located in the Azores within the pathway of North American outflow. The modeled mixing ratios were used to test the robustness of the enhancement ratio ΔO₃/ΔCO (defined as the excess O₃ mixing ratio normalized by the increase in CO) and the feasibility for using this ratio in estimating the O₃ production from the wildfires. Modeled and observed enhancement ratios are about 0.25 ppbv/ppbv which is in the range of values found in the literature and results in a global net O₃ production of 12.9 ± 2 Tg O₃ during summer 2004. This matches the net O₃ production calculated in the model for a region extending from Alaska to the east Atlantic (9–11 Tg O₃) indicating that observations at PICO-NARE representing photochemically well aged plumes provide a good measure of the O₃ production of North American boreal fires. However, net chemical loss of fire-related O₃ dominates in regions far downwind from the fires (e.g., Europe and Asia) resulting in a global net O₃ production of 6 Tg O₃ during the same time period. On average, the fires increased the O₃ burden (surface −300 mbar) over Alaska and Canada during summer 2004 by about 7–9% and over Europe by about 2–3%.


1. Introduction

Ozone (O₃) plays a central role in tropospheric chemistry as a primary source of hydroxyl radicals and, by being toxic in nature, has negative impacts on human and plant health. It is also estimated to be the third most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas [Ramaswamy et al., 2001]. Anthropogenic sources and biomass burning release O₃ precursors including carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) into the atmosphere. Photochemical reaction of CO and VOCs with the hydroxyl radical in the presence of NOₓ and sunlight results in the production of O₃.

The production of tropospheric ozone in the Northern midlatitudes is largely impacted by anthropogenic sources [Chameides and Tan, 1981; Levy et al., 1985]. Significant ozone enhancements have been observed in individual plumes of boreal forest fires [Wofsy et al., 1992; Goode et al., 2000; Forster et al., 2001; McKeen et al., 2002; Jaffé et al., 2004; Honrath et al., 2004; Lapina et al., 2006], and measurements in combination with chemical transport simulations have been used in various studies to estimate the amount of ozone produced from boreal fires [Mauzerall et al., 1996; McKeen et al., 2002]. However, the large-scale impacts of high-latitude biomass burning on the hemispheric tropospheric ozone budget are poorly quantified.

Here we apply a chemical transport model to evaluate various techniques used for estimating the ozone production from a specific source, and include various model tracers to gain a detailed insight into the limitations of these methods. We combine model analysis with observations of CO and O₃ to quantify contributions of boreal fires to Northern Hemispheric CO and O₃ burdens, a topic not very well explored so far. Our analysis focuses on fires in Alaska and Canada in summer 2004. These fires were the largest on record for Alaska, and the CO emissions for the North American boreal region has been estimated as 30 ± 5 Tg for June through August [Pfister et al., 2005]. A total of about 11 million acres were burned in Alaska and Canada during that time period. The study is supported by a comprehensive set of observations collected during the International Consortium for At-
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in situ measurements into the [Parrish et al., 1993]. It has been found that the enhancement ratio (ΔO3/ΔCO), given as the excess O3 mixing ratio normalized by the increase in CO concentrations, is typically smaller for boreal forest fires than for tropical biomass and savannah burning or urban and industrial plumes, because of a lower NOx/CO emission ratio in boreal forest fires compared to the other sources [e.g., Andreae et al., 1994; Wofsy et al., 1992]. ΔO3/ΔCO of fire plumes is also expected to change with plume age. For example, Yokelson et al. [2003] found an increase from 0.09 ppbv/ppbv in fresh tropical biomass-burning plumes to 0.22 ppbv/ppbv for plumes 2–4 days old. Thus O3 production downwind from the source region must be accounted for.

The structure of this paper is the following. After the Introduction we discuss the model simulations and model evaluation in sections 2 and 3, respectively. In section 4 we describe CO and O3 in situ measurements taken at the PICO-NARE station located in the Azores. These observations were used in combination with model simulations to investigate the O3 production from the fires in Alaska and Canada in summer 2004. Section 5 discusses and evaluates different techniques for calculating the enhancement ratio and analyzes the O3 production due to emissions from the fires. The analysis is supported by incorporating fire tracers for CO and O3 into the model and by performing model simulations with and without fire emissions. Finally, we investigate the contributions these fires had on the Northern Hemispheric and regional budgets of CO and O3. Section 6 summarizes our findings.

2. Model Simulation

The model for ozone and related chemical tracers (MOZART) chemistry transport model has been developed at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory and the Max-Planck Institute for Meteorology. In this study we are using version 4 (L. K. Emmons et al., Sensitivity of chemical budgets to meteorology in MOZART-4, manuscript in preparation, 2006). Modifications from version 2 published in Horowitz et al. [2003] include, amongst others, a more complete description of anthropogenic hydrocarbon chemistry, the inclusion of tropospheric aerosols (extended from the work of Tie et al. [2001, 2005]), and online calculations of dry deposition, H2O, and biogenic emissions.

We run the model at a horizontal resolution of ~2.8° by 2.8°. The meteorological fields for 2004 for driving MOZART were taken from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) National Center for Atmospheric Research Re-Analysis [Kistler et al., 2001] and were interpolated from a 6-hour time resolution to the 20-min time steps of the simulations. The vertical resolution of the meteorological fields and hence the model consists of 28 hybrid levels ranging from the surface up to 2 hPa.

Biofuel and fossil fuel emissions used in this study were taken from the European Union project POET (Precursors of Ozone and their Effects in the Troposphere) [Granier et al., 2005]. Over the continental US, the anthropogenic emissions are based on the U.S. EPA NEI-99 inventory (National Emissions Inventory, base year 1999, version 3) (EPA Clearinghouse for Inventories and Emissions Factors: 1999 National Emission Inventory Documentation and Data, Final Version 3.0, available at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/net/1999inventory.html). For the Alaska and Canada region, the biomass-burning emissions for CO for 2004 were taken from an inverse modeling study [Pfister et al., 2005], and emissions for NOx and VOCs were deduced from this inventory by applying emission factors based on Andreae and Merlet [2001]. At the time these simulations were run, an emissions inventory for the year 2004 for biomass-burning sources outside North America was not available. A comparison of CO data from the Measurements Of Pollution In The Troposphere (MOPITT) remote sensing instrument for 2000–2004 showed that the global biomass-burning activity in summer 2004 was similar to 2002 and for this reason we used a 2002 biomass-burning inventory based on ATSR fire counts [Granier et al., 2005].

Our model simulations cover the months from June through August 2004 with a spin-up phase beginning in August 2003. We performed three different simulations. Two of these include emissions from the Alaskan and Canadian wildfires, and are abbreviated as BB in the following. In one of these simulations (BBsrt), the wildfire emissions were released at the lowest model layer and distributed in the boundary layer by the model boundary layer scheme. Studies [Fromm et al., 2005; Damoah et al., 2006] have shown that fire induced convective clouds might transport fire emissions rapidly to higher altitude. To test the sensitivity of our model to the emissions injection height, we performed another simulation (BBvert), where the emissions were distributed evenly with regard to number density between the surface and 9 km altitude. The 9 km altitude represents an upper limit for the injection height based on estimates derived from the Multi-Angle Imaging Spectro-Radiometer (MISR) and the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro-Radiometer (MODIS) [Averill et al., 2005]. In a third simulation (noBB) used as a reference, the emissions of the wildfires in Alaska and Canada were set to zero.

We included two fire tracers in the model. Tracers are emitted or produced from a specific source, but undergo the same transport, chemistry, and physical processes as the standard species. The first tracer incorporated into the simulations is a CO fire tracer (COF), i.e., CO released from the Alaska/Canada wildfires. For the second tracer we tagged the O3 production resulting from hydrocarbon or CO oxidation in association with the emissions of NOx from the fires. We refer to this tracer as O3NOx in the following. The tagging technique for O3NOx takes into account the recycling of NOx from reservoirs such as PAN by applying tags to all nitrogen-containing species. Although there are some minor pathways to create O3 without the presence of NOx, the accuracy of the tagging technique has been estimated as better than 95% on a monthly basis [Lamarque et al., 2005]. The statistical
Table 1. List of Aircraft Measurements Included in the Evaluation of the Model Simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aircraft</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Instrument Reference</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NASA-DC8</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Tunable diode laser absorption</td>
<td>Sachse et al. [1987]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>NO chemiluminescence</td>
<td>M. A. Avery et al. (submitted manuscript, 2006)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>airborne differential absorption lidar (DIAL)</td>
<td>Browell et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA-P3</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>VUV CO fluorescence</td>
<td>Holloway et al. [2000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>NO chemiluminescence</td>
<td>Ryerson et al. [1998]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK BAE146</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>VUV resonance fluorescence</td>
<td>Gerbig et al. [1999]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>UV absorption</td>
<td>Thermo Electron Co. Model 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLR Falcon</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>VUV fluorescence</td>
<td>Gerbig et al. [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>UV absorption</td>
<td>Schlager et al. [1997]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOZAIC</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>improved IR correlation</td>
<td>Nedelec et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>UV absorption</td>
<td>Mareno et al. [1998]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NOAA-P3 O₃ instrument is a new installation, but similar to the one described in the listed reference.


Figure 1. Model evaluation with aircraft data for CO (blue diamonds: observations; green crosses: model with fire emissions injected over 0–9 km; black squares: model simulations with fire emissions injected at surface; red triangles: no fire emissions). The mean percent bias and standard deviation (model minus measurement), correlation coefficient $r$ and number of data points for 2-km wide altitude bins are specified.
analysis we perform using O$_3^\text{NOx}$ in this study is expected to give a comparable accuracy.

3. Model Evaluation

[12] MOZART-4 simulations (BBsrf and BBvert) have been evaluated by comparison with aircraft measurements taken in the framework of the ICARTT campaign during summer 2004. Table 1 includes a list of the platforms, instrumentation, and corresponding references. Measurements with the NASA DC-8 covered large parts of North America and the Northern Atlantic, the NOAA-P3 flights were focused on the Eastern United States, the British BAE146 performed most flights over the Atlantic, and the German Falcon covered mostly Europe. The Measurements of Ozone aboard Airbus In-service Aircraft (MOZAIC) data set has global coverage, but we are including only measurements over North America, the Northern Atlantic and Europe in accordance with the regions covered by the other aircraft. Most low-altitude measurements for this data set are from take-offs and landings over airports in Europe and the US. For a more detailed description of all flight patterns we refer to F. C. Fehsenfeld et al. (International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation (ICARTT): North America to Europe: Overview of the 2004 summer field study, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 2006).

[13] For the comparison of the model with the observations, 2-hour average model data have been linearly interpolated to the time and location of the aircraft data. The time resolution of the observations is 1 min. The results for BBvert, BBsrf, and for comparison, noBB, are shown in Figure 1 for CO and in Figure 2 for O$_3$, respectively. Statistics have been calculated for the individual aircraft data sets and binned onto a 2-km wide altitude grid. These values are specified in the figures. The agreement with observations for CO as well as O$_3$ is generally better in the simulations with fires than in the simulation without fires. CO and O$_3$ mixing ratios are clearly different between the BB and noBB simulations for all platforms, also for the UK.

Figure 2. As in Figure 1, but for O$_3$. 
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Table 2. Statistics Over the Altitude Range 0–8 km for the Model Simulations BBsrf, BBvert, and noBB and the Platforms Listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BBsrf</th>
<th>BBvert</th>
<th>noBB</th>
<th>BBsrf to noBB</th>
<th>BBvert to noBB</th>
<th>BBsrf to BBvert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO (ppbv) Mean and Standard Deviation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-8</td>
<td>119 ± 37</td>
<td>117 ± 35</td>
<td>106 ± 31</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-3</td>
<td>140 ± 41</td>
<td>139 ± 41</td>
<td>124 ± 39</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAE146</td>
<td>100 ± 24</td>
<td>99 ± 23</td>
<td>84 ± 13</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon</td>
<td>110 ± 24</td>
<td>108 ± 23</td>
<td>85 ± 11</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOZAIc</td>
<td>117 ± 39</td>
<td>116 ± 39</td>
<td>105 ± 36</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| O3 (ppbv) Mean and Standard Deviation |       |        |      |               |               |                 |
| DC-8     | 59 ± 14 | 60 ± 14 | 57 ± 14 | <0.01         | <0.01         | 0.02            |
| P-3      | 59 ± 10 | 59 ± 10 | 57 ± 10 | <0.01         | <0.01         | <0.01           |
| BAE146   | 51 ± 14 | 52 ± 15 | 48 ± 12 | <0.01         | <0.01         | <0.01           |
| Falcon   | 58 ± 11 | 59 ± 11 | 53 ± 10 | <0.01         | <0.01         | 0.01            |
| MOZAIc   | 62 ± 13 | 63 ± 13 | 60 ± 13 | <0.01         | <0.01         | <0.01           |
| DIAL     | 54 ± 8  | 56 ± 9  | 53 ± 8  | <0.01         | <0.01         | <0.01           |

*Mean and standard deviation for CO and O3 concentrations and the significance level of the student’s T-statistics comparing BBsrf to noBB, BBvert to noBB, and BBsrf to BBvert are shown.*

BAE146 and DLR Falcon. This indicates that plumes from the Alaska and Canada fires reached all the way to Europe. We list statistics for modeled CO and O3 concentrations for the different platforms in Table 2. The data included in the statistics corresponds to those shown in Figures 1 and 2, but determined for the entire altitude range from the surface to 8 km. The T-test significance levels for simulations with and without fire emissions are above 99% for all platforms indicating the samples have significantly different means. It is interesting to note that the T-test statistics comparing BBvert and BBsrf show a somewhat higher significance level for O3 compared to CO. This suggests the modeled O3 production from the fires is slightly more sensitive to the injection height than the concentration fields of CO.

For most altitudes and platforms, the CO bias between model and observations is less than 10% for both BBsrf and BBvert (see Figure 1). The mean bias as well as the correlation improved upon adding fire emissions into the model, with the only exception being the highest-altitude bin for the NOAA P3 and DLR Falcon data set. We believe this can partly be explained by the small data sample in these bins, and, associated with that, the comparison is more strongly impacted by single events. This might also contribute to the large bias in the 4–6 km bin for the DLR Falcon. The comparison for O3 (Figure 2) shows an agreement of better than 10% for all platforms and altitude bins when fire emissions are included in the simulations. No clear conclusion can be drawn from the evaluation if either BBsrf or BBvert lead to better general agreement. The comparison for individual fire plumes gives a better agreement for either the one or the other simulation. This reflects the combination of crown, smoldering, and peat burning of the Alaska fires. The two cases we ran do not represent the full complexity of fire behavior, but are probably better regarded as sensitivity tests to the vertical distribution of emissions.

4. Impact of Biomass Burning on CO and O3 at PICO-NARE

For the analysis of the O3 production from the wildfires in Alaska and Canada we made use of in situ measurements at the PICO-NARE station. The station is located on the summit caldera of Pico mountain on Pico Island in the Azores, Portugal (2225 m above sea level, 38.47N, 28.40W) and is well suited for studying North American pollution outflow. Air masses at this location typically arrive from North America, but frequently originate from high-latitude regions such as Alaska and Siberia [Honrath et al., 2004], often with enhancements in CO and O3 that have been attributed to boreal fire impacts [Lapina et al., 2006]. The advantage of measurements at PICO-NARE compared with other locations on the continent is its remote location allowing the sampling of chemically well processed air masses.

CO at PICO-NARE was measured using a nondispersive infrared absorption instrument (Thermo Environmental, Inc., Model 48C-TL) modified as described by Parrish et al. [1994]. O3 was measured with a commercial ultraviolet absorption instrument (Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc., Franklin, Massachusetts, Model 49C). Data are available as 1-min averages. For the 2-hour averages used in this study the precision for CO is estimated to be better than 9 ppbv and for O3 better than 1 ppbv. For a description of the station and the measurement techniques we refer to Honrath et al. [2004] and Owen et al. [2006].

For comparing the model simulations with the observations at PICO-NARE, the observations have been averaged in time to match the 2-hour window of the simulations, and the model data have then been linearly interpolated to the location and pressure level of the observations. Because of the coarse model resolution, the simulations do not resolve upstroke events occurring at the mountain site. Observations potentially affected by upstroke flow were small during summer 2004. We identified these periods as described by Kleissl et al. [2007] and omitted them from the analysis.

Figure 3 shows the time series for modeled and measured CO and O3 at PICO-NARE. In addition to results from the BB model simulations, we also include results from the noBB simulation to emphasize the impact of the Alaskan/Canadian wildfires. For clarity, we reduced the temporal resolution in the graphs to daily average values, however, our analysis refers to the 2-hour average values. A detailed analysis of PICO-NARE 30-min observations in the 2004 fire season is provided by Val Martin et al. [2006]. As can be
seen in Figure 3, the model closely matches the observed temporal variability, and also captures the magnitudes fairly well. The mean bias between modeled (BBsrf) and observed mixing ratios is $-3 \pm 16$ ppbv for CO and $6 \pm 12$ ppbv for O$_3$. The corresponding biases for the simulation without fire emissions are $-12 \pm 19$ ppbv for CO and $4 \pm 12$ ppbv for O$_3$, respectively. Daily CO fire tracers in the model estimate a typical transport time on the order of 1–2 weeks for biomass-burning plumes reaching PICO-NARE.

The time series for CO from the simulations BB and noBB indicate a clear impact from the fires at PICO-NARE throughout the months of July and August with the most extreme period from the end of July to mid-August. This is a combination of the most intense fire activity occurring in mid to end of July [Pfister et al., 2005] and the transport time of 1–2 weeks. The period from 22–24 July had the highest half-hour average CO levels yet recorded at the PICO-NARE station [Val Martin et al., 2006]. The impact of the fires on the O$_3$ concentrations is less pronounced, but differences between BB and noBB of up to 10 ppbv are evident during some of the intense episodes. The difference in CO and O$_3$ concentrations by subtracting noBB from BBsrf gives an average enhancement due to the fires of 8 ppbv (8%) for CO and 2 ppbv (4%) for O$_3$.

The correlation between the CO mixing ratios from the simulation BBsrf and the observations is $r = 0.64$ compared to a correlation of $r = 0.48$ between noBB and the observations. During times of intense biomass-burning impact, the noBB run actually shows slight enhancement in the CO concentrations as well, indicating that these outflow events transported pollution from the fires together with elevated pollution from likely North American anthropogenic sources.

The correlation between the measured and modeled O$_3$ is $r = 0.51$ for the simulation noBB and increases only slightly for the BB runs ($r = 0.54$), an indication of the less pronounced or more complex [Val Martin et al., 2006] effect of the fires on the O$_3$ burden compared to the CO burden. While the model is picking up the higher O$_3$ values moderately well, neither the BB nor the noBB simulations capture the low end of the observed O$_3$ concentrations. This is likely due to the positive O$_3$ bias in the model over the US, leading to an overestimate of North American outflow of O$_3$ and to an overestimate in the modeled O$_3$ mixing ratio of maritime background air. In agreement with the conclusions drawn from the model evaluation in section 3 it cannot be stated if either BBsrf or BBvert results in better agreement with the observations. Unless otherwise mentioned, we will focus in the following analysis on results for BBsrf.

5. Ozone Production from Boreal Fires

Assuming a linear relationship between CO emissions and net O$_3$ production, the relationship between tropospheric CO and O$_3$ concentrations might be used as an estimate for the net O$_3$ production in regional plumes [Parrish et al., 1993; Forster et al., 2001]. The enhancement ratio $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$ is defined as the difference between the O$_3$ concentrations in a polluted air mass from that of background air, normalized by the excess mixing ratio of CO. In the case of biomass-burning plumes, the background defines concentrations of CO and O$_3$ not linked to the fire emissions.

The change in the Northern Hemispheric net O$_3$ production rate due to the fires, calculated by differenting net O$_3$ production rates in the BB and the noBB simulation, is estimated as 6 Tg O$_3$ for June through August. This is contribution of 3% to the Northern Hemispheric net ozone production. Normalizing by the total CO emissions for this time period (30 ± 5 Tg CO) yields a global average enhancement ratio for the fires in Alaska and Canada of 0.12 ppbv/ppbv. In the following sections we examine the feasibility of estimating the O$_3$ production of North American boreal fires by using enhancement ratios based on observed and modeled mixing ratios of CO and O$_3$ at PICO-NARE.

5.1. Determining the Enhancement Ratio from CO and O$_3$ Observations

There are two common ways to calculate $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$. The first, in the following referred to as the “scatter technique”, determines the enhancement ratio from the slope of the linear fit of O$_3$ versus CO mixing ratios [Parrish et al., 1993]. The second, termed as “enhancement technique”, infers “background” concentrations of CO and O$_3$ from air masses not affected by the considered pollution source (in our case the wildfires in Alaska and Canada) and calculates the corresponding excess mixing ratios by subtracting background concentrations from total concentra-

![Figure 3. Measured and modeled time series of CO and O$_3$ mixing ratios at PICO-NARE (daily averages are shown).](image-url)
versus D3 levels, and scatter plots for observed and modeled concentrations at PICO-NARE. Air masses are Lapina et al. x Wofsy et al. x McKeen et al. enhancements per unit CO r3 D (a) Observed and (b, c) modeled CO-Ox = 0.54 instead of a f fire emissions were increased by a factor of 10. 

5.1.1. Scatter Technique

This technique has been applied in Figure 4 showing CO-O3 scatter plots for observed and modeled concentrations at PICO-NARE. The data were grouped into air masses with varying biomass-burning impact by using the magnitude of the observed CO mixing ratio as the threshold. Studies by Honrath et al. [2004] and Lapina et al. [2006] show that periods of extreme summertime CO concentrations frequently coincide with airflow from Northern latitudes transporting pollution from wildfires in Siberia, Alaska and Canada to PICO-NARE. To allow comparison with the observations, we applied two methods to the model data: (1) we used a threshold derived from the simulated CO and (2) we used the relative contribution of the fire tracer COf. The second method gives in some sense the true solution, as it is not impacted by sources of high CO other than the wildfires. The thresholds, specified in the graphs, were chosen in a way that the number of data points was roughly equal in the different subsets. The coarse spatial resolution in the model results in a more pronounced dilution of biomass-burning plumes and this explains the smaller threshold in total CO applied to the subset of high intense plumes in the model compared to the observations.

The fitting technique applied is a reduced major axis reduction (RMA). It uses the geometric mean of the slopes of the standard linear regression of y versus x and of x versus y [Draper and Smith, 1998] thus taking into account the variability in both abscissa and ordinate. The mean slope and the corresponding standard deviation are specified in Figure 4.

The scatter in the data and the uncertainties in the slopes are explained by the mixture of different air masses, variability in the background CO and O3 levels, and differing pathways and photochemical ages in the sampled plumes. The slopes are also somewhat dependent on the fitting technique applied. The calculated ΔO3/ΔCO is independent of air mass age if the tracers used have similar lifetimes or have lifetimes much longer than the transport time, but this assumption is not entirely fulfilled in the case of CO and O3. However, in a statistical sense, a clear distinction between the different types of air masses is evident with the smallest O3 enhancements per unit CO for the most intense plumes in both model and data. The decrease in the slopes with increasing biomass-burning impact is seen in both the model data (Figure 4b) and the observations (Figure 4a). However, the model does not capture the measured ΔO3/ΔCO due to the impact of mixing in the model. As a result, the calculated slope has a rather large value with, at the same time, a high uncertainty. Applying the ratio CO/CO as a selection criteria instead (Figure 4c) we achieved a clearly stricter separation of the fire impact for the modeled plumes. The slope calculated for the most biomass-burning-impacted subset is then 0.44 ± 0.08 with a correlation of r = 0.54 instead of a slope of 0.77 ± 0.57 and a correlation of r = 0.28 when applying total CO mixing ratios as threshold. This slope is still higher than the observed one and this is likely due to more strongly diluted plumes in the model resulting from the coarse spatial resolution. As will be shown in section 5.2, the selection of more intense model fire plumes reduces the estimated slope.

The generally higher slopes for air masses least impacted by biomass-burning sources (defined as “nonfire plumes” here and characterized strongly by anthropogenic pollution sources) compared to those more strongly impacted by the wildfires is consistent with earlier studies [Wofsy et al., 1992; Andere et al., 1994; McKeen et al., 2002] and have been explained by a lower NOx:CO emission ratio in boreal fires relative to urban and industrial sources. In Figure 4c we include results from a simulation where the NOx:CO emission ratio for the wildfire emissions in the model was increased by a factor of 10 to match the emission ratio of anthropogenic sources. The pronounced difference between air masses with weak and with strong biomass-burning impact is diminished in this case supporting the hypothesis that the difference between O3 production of anthropogenic and biomass-burning plumes can largely be explained by a difference in NOx:CO emission ratios.

It is evident from Figure 4 that the limitations used in the selection of biomass-burning-impacted air masses and the degree of mixing in the considered air masses have an effect on the calculation of ΔO3/ΔCO. The observed and modeled correlations also indicate that the enhancement ratio decreases the more strict the selection criteria applied are, and this dependence will be looked into more closely in section 5.2.
The enhancement technique requires knowledge of the background concentrations, and large uncertainties might be introduced if the background and its variability are not well known. For modeling studies, however, the variability in background concentrations can be determined accurately from a simulation where the considered emission source is omitted.

Figure 5 shows observed and modeled excess mixing ratios of O$_3$ versus CO for the air mass separation applied earlier (section 5.1.1). For Figures 5a and 5b we estimated the background by averaging CO and O$_3$ mixing ratios over the subset of non-fire-impacted air flow, which explains the existence of negative excess mixing ratios in this subset of data. For the observations the derived background values are 82 ppbv for CO and 37 ppbv for O$_3$; for the model data we obtain 81 ppbv for CO and 45 ppbv for O$_3$. The derived slopes for the air masses impacted by biomass burning are nearly identical to the values derived from the scatter technique showing that the background value we assumed in the enhancement technique is similar to the one implied in the scatter technique. The difference in the slopes for observed and modeled CO-O$_3$ relationships (Figures 5a and 5b) suggests this method is also sensitive to the amount of mixing.

Figure 5c shows modeled slopes when the background is estimated from the simulation without fire emissions. In some sense, this is the result one would obtain assuming perfect data, i.e., if the excess mixing ratios of CO and O$_3$ are precisely known. For each data point in the BBsrf simulation a corresponding background value is derived from the noBB run, thus the temporal variability in the background is accounted for. The standard deviation of the background mixing ratios as determined from the noBB simulation is on the order of 5 ppbv for the biomass-burning plumes. Compared to the scatter technique and the enhancement technique with a constant background, the excess mixing ratios for CO and O$_3$ derived with this technique are more strongly correlated and the calculated slopes are much less sensitive to the selection of the air mass.

Thus provided accurate information about the variability in the background levels is available, the enhancement technique allows a more accurate determination of $\Delta$O$_3$/\Delta CO than the scatter technique. The same technique applied to the model simulation in which the NO$_x$ fire emissions were increased by a factor of 10 yields slopes on the order of 0.8, i.e., close to the enhancement ratio estimated for anthropogenic sources.

5.2. Enhancement Ratios and O$_3$ Production

We used the model data to test the sensitivity of $\Delta$O$_3$/\Delta CO derived with both the scatter and the enhancement techniques to the degree to which air masses are impacted by biomass burning. For the enhancement technique the background was derived from the noBB simulation. Figure 6 shows $\Delta$O$_3$/\Delta CO as a function of the magnitude of biomass-burning influence of considered air masses. The number of selected data points is represented by the shaded area. Results for the BBsrf simulation.

Figure 6. Enhancement ratio (mean slope and standard deviation) determined by the scatter and the enhancement technique as a function of intensity of biomass-burning influence of considered air masses. The number of selected data points is represented by the shaded area. Results for the BBsrf simulation.
reflect the variability in \(O_3\) production in biomass-burning plumes due to changes in \(O_3\) chemistry, transport pathways and times, and a combination of these or more processes. The average \(\Delta O_3/\Delta CO\) is calculated as 0.25 ppbv/ppbv for BBsrf and a slightly higher value, 0.27 ppbv/ppbv, is calculated for BBvert. This value is close to the enhancement ratio of 0.26 derived from the observations when intense plumes are selected only (Figure 4).

[35] Our values for \(\Delta O_3/\Delta CO\) are in the range of values found in the literature. \textit{Mauzerall et al. [1996]} calculated enhancement ratios for aged boreal fire plumes on the order of 0.1 ± 0.2 ppbv/ppbv. A value of 0.1 ppbv/ppbv was encountered during SOS-95 by \textit{Wotawa and Trainer [2000]} and similar values during ABLE-3 for Alaska fires [\textit{Jacob et al., 1992}]. \textit{McKeen et al. [2002]} report enhancement ratios of 0.17 ppbv/ppbv. Higher averaged enhancement ratios are estimated by \textit{Bertschi and Jaffe [2005]} and \textit{Honrath et al. [2004]} for highly aged boreal fire plumes: 0.4 ppbv/ppbv and 0.7 ppbv/ppbv, respectively.

[36] In the case of the scatter technique it is evident that \(\Delta O_3/\Delta CO\) is higher for the weakly impacted plumes due to the mixing of the biomass-burning impact with the impact of other pollution sources. As mentioned earlier, during times of intense fire plumes, increased pollution was also transported to PICO-NARE (Figure 3). The average \(O_3\) concentration for the noBB simulation is 44 ± 9 ppbv for \(CO/CO < 0.01\), but 47 ± 5 ppbv and 47 ± 4 ppbv for \(CO/CO > 0.1\) and \(CO/CO > 0.2\), respectively. Corresponding \(CO\) concentrations are 77 ± 8 ppbv, 84 ± 6 ppbv, and 82 ± 6 ppbv. When \(CO\) masses with at least 20% biomass-burning impact are selected, the slopes calculated with the two different techniques approaches a similar value. However, toward stricter limitations the number of data points is small and the fitting technique is less reliable.

[37] By using \(\Delta O_3/\Delta CO = 0.25\) ppbv/ppbv as derived from the enhancement technique, an approximation for the total \(O_3\) produced from the fires can be made [\textit{Parrish et al., 1993; Mauzerall et al., 1996}]. With 30 ± 5 Tg \(CO\) emitted by the fires from June through August as stated by \textit{Pfister et al. [2005]}, an \(O_3\) production of 10.7–15 Tg \(O_3\) is estimated for the same time period (30 ± 5 Tg \(CO\) multiplied by 0.25 ppbv ppbv\(^{-1}\) and corrected by the ratio of \(O_3\) (48 g mol\(^{-1}\)) to \(CO\) (28 g mol\(^{-1}\)) (molecular weights)).

[38] This value is larger than the Northern Hemisphere net chemical production rate of 6 Tg \(O_3\) in the model as mentioned earlier. The discrepancy can be explained in that \(\Delta O_3/\Delta CO\) at the location of PICO-NARE is not representative for the total net change in \(O_3\) but rather for the net \(O_3\) production rate covering the region from the source location to the Azores. Close to the source region net chemical production of fire-related \(O_3\) dominates, while further downwind from the source (e.g., Europe and Asia) net chemical loss dominates. The modeled net \(O_3\) production calculated over a region representative for air masses reaching PICO-NARE (stretching from 180W to 20W and from 40N to 70N) is 9 Tg \(O_3\) for BBsrf and 11 Tg \(O_3\) for BBvert, i.e., in the range of the estimate based on \(\Delta O_3/\Delta CO\). These results demonstrate that the measurements and model simulations at the location of PICO-NARE being representative of aged biomass-burning plumes indeed give a good measure of the \(O_3\) production of fires in North America.

Differences between the \(\Delta O_3/\Delta CO\) based estimate and the model calculated production are explained by uncertainties in the calculation of the slopes and differing pathways and chemical ages for plumes reaching PICO-NARE.

[39] As mentioned earlier, our model simulations not only include a \(CO\) fire tracer, but also an \(O_3\) fire tracer \(O_3^NOx\) that tracks the amount of \(O_3\) produced from the \(NO_x\) fire emissions. One might assume that the global net \(O_3\) production for this tracer equals the amount derived when subtracting results for simulations with and without fire emissions as has been done above (6 Tg \(O_3\)). However, the net \(O_3\) production rate for the Northern Hemisphere calculated from the \(O_3\) fire tracer is higher, close to 9 Tg \(O_3\). The reasons for this are due to the nonlinearity in \(O_3\) chemistry and are explored in the following section.

### 5.3. Changes in the \(O_3\) Chemistry Due to Fire Emissions

[40] In Figure 7 we show correlations between the model \(CO\) and \(O_3\) fire tracers and the difference in \(O_3\) and \(CO\) mixing ratios from BBsrf and noBB simulations defined as \(dO_3\) and \(dCO\), respectively. As can be seen, the correlation for \(CO\) is close to the 1:1 line, but for \(O_3\) the mixing ratios of \(O_3^NOx\) are clearly larger compared to \(dO_3\) indicating that \(O_3\) production related to the fires must have been offset by an increased loss of \(O_3\).

[41] To explore the mechanisms behind the \(O_3\) production from the fire emissions, we compared \(O_3\) concentrations, production and loss terms in the model for the simulations BBsrf and noBB. The data set has been split into three groups of varying fire impact determined by the ratio \(CO/CO\). Statistics for the individual subsets are plotted in Figure 8. The maps (Figure 8a) denote the geographical coverage of the selected data with red indicating a high, and blue a low, concentration of data points. The most intense plumes are concentrated near the source location, but plumes of high fire impact can also be seen all the way to Europe. The high-intensity plumes are mostly located near the surface (Figure 8b) as expected when the emissions are released at the lowest model level. With time, atmospheric transport and convection spread plumes over a larger altitude range.
Figure 8. Statistics for ozone concentrations and ozone production and loss terms for three different subsets of fire plume intensity as characterized by the ratio of CO/CO. (a) Spatial distribution for selected data points. (b) Frequency distribution for the height of the selected data points. (c) Frequency distribution of the volume mixing ratios O$_3$noBB and O$_3$. (d) Net O$_3$ production rate for the fire tracer O$_3^{N Ox}$. (e) Frequency distribution of the O$_3$ production rate for O$_3$noBB and O$_3B$ (background O$_3$ estimated by subtracting O$_3$ and O$_3^{N Ox}$). (f) As in Figure 5e but for the chemical lifetime. (g) Frequency distribution of the volume mixing ratios O$_3^{N Ox}$, dO$_3$, and O$_3B$. 
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Figure 9. Northern Hemispheric burden of CO and O₃ for the altitude range surface – 300 mbar. Model results for simulations BBsrf (solid line) and noBB (dotted line). The dash-dotted line denotes the percentage difference between simulations BB and noBB (shown on the secondary ordinate).

[42] Figure 8c shows that O₃ levels without fire emissions (O₃noBB) are mostly below 40 ppbv over the source regions; that is, the fires occurred in an area of low O₃ concentrations. The additional NOₓ from the fires causes a shift in the distribution of O₃ concentrations toward higher values. The effect is most pronounced over the source region, but a slight positive shift is also evident for the subset of least impacted plumes.

[43] The increase in O₃ is caused by a strong net production of the fire tracer O₃NOₓ in the most impacted plumes with the magnitude decreasing with decreasing plume intensity. This is shown in Figure 8d where we illustrate histograms for the O₃NOₓ net chemical production. Even though the strongest production takes place close to the source region, continuing production is also evident in regions further downwind from the source. For the subset of least impacted plumes (and most aged plumes), there are a significant number of data points with net chemical loss of O₃NOₓ.

[44] Figures 8e to 8g show the changes to background O₃ levels when fire emissions are injected into the system. Figure 8e denotes the distribution for production of O₃noBB and of background O₃ with fire emissions; the latter defined as O₃B. O₃B is calculated by subtracting the O₃ fire tracer from the total O₃. The production of O₃B is less than the production of O₃noBB. Thus by adding fire emissions to the system, the production of background O₃ is reduced in the simulations. This is explained by reduced background production of peroxy radicals (not shown here). Peroxy radicals play an important role in ozone production by reacting with NO to form NO₂ which then is photolyzed to give atomic oxygen necessary in the O₃ formation. Changes are most pronounced over the source region, but differences are also evident in less impacted plumes.

[45] In addition to a reduced production of O₃B, the loss of O₃B is increased over that of O₃noBB, reflected in a reduction in the chemical lifetime (Figure 8f). The reduced production and increased loss of background O₃ result in lower concentrations of O₃B compared to O₃noBB. In Figure 8g we show the corresponding frequency distributions for O₃NOₓ, O₃B and dO₃. When compared to Figure 8c, we see that over the source region, O₃B has an average ~80% smaller values compared to O₃noBB, and most of the O₃ present is in the form of O₃NOₓ, i.e., O₃ due to the NOₓ fire emissions.

[46] The strong reduction of background O₃ levels when fire emissions are included explains why concentrations of O₃NOₓ are larger than the difference in O₃ concentrations between runs with and without fire emissions. In contrast, the CO chemistry has a first-order linearity, thus the concentrations of the fire tracer COf are close to the difference of CO concentrations simulated with and without fire emissions (Figure 7). However, the fires also impacted background levels of atmospheric CO to some extent. The high VOC and CO emissions from the fires result in a reduction in average OH concentrations. This in turn reduces the rate of oxidation of CO and results in increased background CO levels. This increase has been estimated in the model by comparing the background CO with fire emissions (calculated by subtracting COf from total CO concentrations in BBsrf) to the CO field without fire emissions. For the Northern Hemisphere we calculate an increase in the burden of background CO of up to 1 Tg CO.

5.4. Impact of Alaska/Canada Wildfires on the O₃ Budget

[47] Finally, using the model results, we examine how increases in the CO and O₃ concentration fields related to the wildfires affected the Northern Hemispheric and the regional trace gas budgets. In Figure 9 we show the time series for the modeled Northern Hemispheric CO and O₃ burden (surface – 300 hPa) and the changes related to the emissions from the fires. The change in the CO burden reaches close to 10% around the end of July. The corresponding changes in the O₃ burden are on the order of up to 4%. On average the Northern Hemispheric CO and O₃ burdens during the summer 2004 were increased because of emissions by the fires by 4–5% and 2%, respectively.

[48] As expected, the largest changes in the atmospheric burden occurred over Alaska and Canada (50–70N, 180E–60W) with an average increase in the O₃ burden of 7–9% for the altitude range surface – 300 hPa, and 11–12% for the range surface – 800 hPa. For comparison, over the altitude range up to 300 hPa, this is slightly smaller than the estimated contribution of stratospheric O₃ (11%) in the model, but for the range up to 800 hPa exceeds the contribution of stratospheric O₃ (3%).

[49] Because of the transport of O₃ and its precursors, effects from the fires are also expected far downwind of the
source location. Over Europe (35°–70N, 20W–20E) we estimate a contribution of O3 from the fires of up to 10% around the end of July for the surface –300 hPa range and up to 8% for the range surface –800 hPa. Averaged over the summer, the contributions are on the order of 3% for both altitude ranges considered. These results show that even though the fires had a rather small contribution to the large-scale hemispheric budget of O3 over certain regions and altitudes, even far downwind from the source itself, the impact is significant.

6. Conclusion

[50] We have determined the amount of O3 produced from the wildfires in Alaska and Canada in summer of 2004 by using a combination of model simulations and observations. The modeled CO and O3 fields have been evaluated by comparison with a comprehensive set of aircraft measurements taken during the ICARTT campaign.

[51] In analyzing the O3 production from North American boreal fires we used measured and modeled CO and O3 mixing ratios at the PICO-NARE station in the Azores. The results show that the enhancement ratio ΔO3/ΔCO, defined as the increase in O3 per unit increase in CO, derived from observed and modeled concentrations at PICO-NARE is a good measure for the O3 production from the Alaska and Canada fires. However, we also show that this measure can be very sensitive to the selected air masses. Our analysis yields enhancement ratios of 0.25 ppbv/ppbv for aged plumes of Alaskan and Canadian wildfires, which is in the range of values found in the literature. The enhancement ratio found for boreal biomass-burning plumes is about a factor of 3–4 smaller than that of anthropogenic plumes. We have also performed a sensitivity simulation that clearly showed that the difference in the enhancement ratio for anthropogenic and boreal fire biomass-burning plumes is a result of the difference in the NOx/CO emissions ratios for these sources.

[52] Controversies exist in the understanding of the importance of O3 production from boreal forest fires. The total net O3 production from the boreal fires in Alaska and Canada in the summer of 2004 in our model is estimated as 6 Tg O3, which gives a contribution of about 3% to the Northern Hemispheric budget. Considering only a region spanning from the source to the Azores, a net chemical production of 9–11 Tg O3 is calculated in the model. This is in agreement with the estimate derived from enhancement ratios based on model simulations and observations at PICO-NARE (10.7–15 Tg O3). Large increases in the O3 burden are observed downwind of the fires because of transport of O3 produced near the fires as well as continuing O3 production in the fire plumes. Modeling studies show that the increase in the atmospheric burden of O3 is a combination of a strong O3 production due to precursors emitted by the fires and an increased destruction of O3 background levels resulting from reduced peroxo radical concentrations.

[53] While the availability of satellite measurements of tropospheric CO concentrations puts constraints on the CO emissions, uncertainties remain in how to constrain the emissions of NOx and VOCs resulting in uncertainties in the estimated O3 production. Another unknown is the emissions injection height of the fires.

[54] The results of this study indicate that fires in the boreal region can have a significant impact on the O3 production over large parts of the Northern Hemisphere. We focused our investigations on the wildfires in Canada and Alaska from summer 2004, that have been a record for this region, but comparable or even larger impacts might occur from fires in Siberia. With climate change and the possibility of increased fire activity in the Northern latitudes as a result of more frequent and/or more severe droughts and increased direct human impact [Mollicone et al., 2006], O3 production from boreal fires might gain in importance in the future.
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